SRB measures of singular hyperbolic attractors Penn State University Dynamical Systems Seminar

Dominic Veconi

International Centre for Theoretical Physics

October 31 2022

Dominic Veconi (International Centre for TheSRB measures of singular hyperbolic attractor

Singular hyperbolic attractors

Setting:

- M Riemannian manifold, K ⊂ M open and precompact, N ⊂ K closed, N⁺ = N ∪ ∂K;
- $f: K \setminus N \to K$ diffeomorphism onto its image;
- N[−] = image of continuous extensions of f to N⁺ ⊂ K
 ; or more formally,

$$N^- = \left\{ y \in K : \exists z \in N^+, z_n \in K \setminus N \text{ s.t. } z_n \to z, f(z_n) \to y
ight\}$$

•
$$K^+ = \{x \in K : f^n(x) \notin N^+ \forall n \ge 0\};$$

- $D = \bigcap_{n \ge 0} f^n(K^+)$, $\Lambda = \overline{D}$ (Λ is the *attractor* for f).
- Λ is a singular hyperbolic attractor if there is a continuous splitting z → E^s(z) ⊕ E^u(z) over K \ N into stable and unstable subspaces. In particular, there are C > 0 and λ > 1 so that for any z ∈ D, n ≥ 0:

$$\begin{aligned} \|df_z^n v\| &\leq C\lambda^{-n} \|v\| \quad \forall v \in E^s(z); \\ \|df_z^{-n} v\| &\leq C\lambda^{-n} \|v\| \quad \forall v \in E^u(z). \end{aligned}$$

Example 1: Geometric Lorenz attractor

•
$$I = (-1, 1), K = I \times I, N = I \times \{0\}, f : K \setminus N \to K$$
: given by
 $f(x, y) = (\varphi(x, y), \psi(x, y)),$ where
 $\varphi(x, y) = (\operatorname{sgn}(y)Bx|y|^{\nu} - B|y|^{\nu_0} + 1)\operatorname{sgn}(y)$
 $\psi(x, y) = ((1 + A)|y|^{\nu_0} - A)\operatorname{sgn}(y)$

where 0 < A < 1, $0 < B < \frac{1}{2}$, $\nu > 1$, and $1/(1 + A) < \nu_0 < 1$.



• The two dots form the set N^- , the "image" of the singular set N.

Example: Geometric Lorenz attractor

The geometric Lorenz attractor is a Poincaré first-return map for a transverse cross-section of the flow of the classical Lorenz attractor.



Example: Lorenz-type maps

More generally, a *Lorenz-type map* is a map $f : K \setminus N \to K$, $K = I \times I$, $N = I \times \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{q+1}\}$, where

$$-1 = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_q < a_{q+1} = 1$$

and, in addition to certain regularity conditions on df,

- $\lim_{y\uparrow a_i} f(x,y) = f_i^-$, $\lim_{y\downarrow a_i} f(x,y) = f_i^+$ $(f_i^\pm \in \overline{K \setminus N} \text{ constant points,} independent of <math>x \in I$);
- $f|_{I \times (a_i, a_{i+1})} : I \times (a_i, a_{i+1}) \to K$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image;
- $\|\varphi_x\|, \|\psi_y^{-1}\| < 1$, where $f(x, y) = (\varphi(x, y), \psi(x, y))$.

Theorem (Afraimovich, Bykov, Shilnikov '83)

If M is a compact Riemannian manifold $w/\dim M \ge 3$, there exists a vector field X and a smooth submanifold S such that the first-return time map f induced on S by the flow given by X is a Lorenz-type map.

Example: Lozi attractor

• Lozi map: Two-dimensional perturbation of the tent map, $f: K \setminus N \to K, \ K = (-c, c)^2, \ c \in (0, 1.5), \ N = \{0\} \times (-c, c),$ $a > 0, \ b > 0$ sufficiently small:



- Topologically conjugate to the Hénon map
- In this case, the map is continuous on N, but not differentiable.

Billiards

- Say $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a precompact region, $\partial \Omega$ a finite union of smooth curves. Suppose $\partial \Omega$ fails to be differentiable on a finite set Γ .
- The corresponding billiard system T : ∂Ω × [0, π] → ∂Ω × [0, π] is singular hyperbolic, with singular set N = Γ × [0, π].



- Suppose f : U → M is a hyperbolic map on a Riemannian manifold M. An SRB measure is an invariant Borel probability measure μ for which:
 - f has positive Lyapunov exponents μ -a.e., and
 - μ admits absolutely continuous conditional measures on the unstable leaves W^u(x) (w.r.t. Riemannian leaf volume)
- SRB measures are hyperbolic *physical measures*: m(B_μ) > 0, where m is the Lebesgue/Riemannian volume and B_μ is the *basin* of μ:

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mu} := \left\{ x : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\varphi \circ f^{k} \right) (x) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \int_{U} \varphi \, d\mu \quad \forall \varphi \in C^{0} \right\}$$

Theorem (Pesin '92)

- For m-a.e. every $z \in K \setminus N$, there are embedded submanifolds $W^{s}_{loc}(z)$ and $W^{u}_{loc}(z)$ containing z for which $T_{z}W^{s}_{loc}(z) = E^{s}(z)$ and $T_{z}W^{u}_{loc}(z) = E^{u}(z)$.
- Furthermore, there is an α < 1 and C > 0 for which, for all n ≥ 0, letting ρ denote Riemannian distance,

$$\begin{split} \rho(f^n(x), f^n(y)) &\leq C\alpha^n \rho(x, y) \quad for \; x, y \in W^s_{\text{loc}}(z), \\ \rho(f^{-n}, f^{-n}(y)) &\leq C\alpha^n \rho(x, y) \quad for \; x, y \in W^u_{\text{loc}}(z). \end{split}$$

• The submanifolds admit hyperbolic product structure: for $w \in N \setminus K$ sufficiently close to z, the intersection $W_{loc}^s(z) \cap W_{loc}^u(w)$ is nonempty and contains exactly one point.

Setting:

- M Riemannian manifold, K ⊂ M open and precompact, N ⊂ K closed;
- $f: K \setminus N \to K$ diffeomorphism onto its image;
- N[−] = image of continuous extensions of f to N⁺ ⊂ K
 ; or more formally,

$$N^- = \left\{ y \in K : \exists z \in N^+, z_n \in K \setminus N \text{ s.t. } z_n \to z, f(z_n) \to y \right\}$$

(for example, $N^- = \{f_i^{\pm} : 1 \le i \le q\}$ for Lorenz-type maps, where $f_i^+ = \lim_{y \downarrow a_i} f(x, y)$ and $f_i^- = \lim_{y \uparrow a_i} f(x, y)$);

• A a singular hyperbolic attractor, expansive constant $\lambda > 1$.

Main result

Assumptions:

- N is a disjoint union of finitely many closed submanifolds N₁,..., N_m of dimension equal to codim(W^u);
- 2 The local unstable manifolds $W_{loc}^{u}(x)$ intersect the singular set N transversally, with angle uniformly bounded away from 0;
- The stable foliation is *locally continuous*: the local stable curves W^s_{loc}(z) (and in particular their length) vary continuously with z.

Theorem (V. 2022)

- If f : K \ N → K as above satisfies Assumptions 1, 2, 3, then the attractor Λ admits finitely many ergodic SRB measures μ₁,..., μ_n.
- If f also satisfies Assumption 4, then there is a finite collection of f-invariant subsets $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n$, clopen in Λ , each supporting a unique SRB measure. (In particular, if $f|_{\Lambda} : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ is transitive, f admits a unique SRB measure.)

- Assumption that N is a finite union of submanifolds is required for arguments. Result may not hold if N has infinitely many components.
- Example: Take a countable number of horizontal lines in $(-1,1)^2$.
- In each resulting section, embed a copy of the geometric Lorenz attractor.
- Each section admits its own SRB measure.
- The proof of the main result requires a lower bound on the distance between components of *N*, which we don't have in this example.

Theorem (Sataev '92)

Suppose $f : K \setminus N \to K$ is a singular hyperbolic map for which we have: **3** $\exists B, \beta, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ for which $\forall n \ge 0, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$:

 $\operatorname{Vol}\left(f^{-n}(B_{\varepsilon}(N))\right) < B\varepsilon^{\beta}$

where $B_{\varepsilon}(N) := \{z \in K : \rho(z, N) < \varepsilon\}$;

② ∃ β, ε₁ > 0 for which: whenever V ⊂ K is a manifold tangent to the unstable cone, ∃ B₀ = B₀(V) s.t. ∀0 < ε < ε₁: ∀n ≥ 0:

 $\operatorname{Vol}_V \left(V \cap f^{-n}(B_{\varepsilon}(N)) \right) \leq B_0 \varepsilon^{\beta}.$

Then there are finitely many disjoint invariant sets $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n$ and ergodic SRB measures μ_1, \ldots, μ_n with $\mu_i(\Lambda_i) = 1$ for each *i*, and $f|_{\Lambda_i}$ topologically transitive.

Earlier similar result in discrete setting

• Recall our assumptions:

- N is a disjoint union of finitely many closed submanifolds N₁,..., N_m of dimension equal to codim(W^u);
- The local unstable manifolds W^u_{loc}(x) intersect the singular set N transversally, with angle uniformly bounded away from 0;

Theorem (Pesin '92)

In dimension 2, these assumptions imply the recurrence hypotheses of previous theorem.

• These three assumptions do not give existence in dimensions higher than 2. However, if hold for a higher-dimensional singular hyperbolic map, these assumptions still imply that there are *at most* finitely many SRBs, and the proof avoids using recurrence assumptions.

Singular hyperbolic flows

- Let *M* be a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold, *X* a *C^r* vector field with flow *X*_t.
- A compact X_t -invariant subset $\Lambda \subset M$ is singular hyperbolic if
 - A is partially hyperbolic, in that Λ admits an invariant splitting T_ΛM = E^s ⊕ E^c for which d(X_t)|_{E^s} is contracting, E^s dominates E^c, and E^c is volume-expanding: there are K > 0 and λ > 0 for which:

$$\|d(X_t)|_{E^s}\| \leq Ke^{-\lambda t};$$

 $\|d(X_t)|_{E^s}\| \cdot \|d(X_t)|_{E^c}\| \leq Ke^{-\lambda t};$
 $|J(d(X_t)|_{E^c})| \geq Ke^{\lambda t}$ (where J is the Jacobian)

- **2** all singularities of X contained in Λ are hyperbolic (here "singularities" just means fixed points of the flow, or points $z \in M$ where X(z) = 0).
- The standard Lorenz system is an example of such a flow.

Theorem (Pacifico, Morales '07)

A singular hyperbolic attractor for a flow with dense periodic orbits and a unique singularity is a finite union of transitive sets. (If the vector field is "Kupka-Smale", this union is disjoint.)

• "*Kupka-Smale*" essentially means that the closed orbits and critical points are hyperbolic, and the stable manifold of a critical point can intersect the unstable manifold of another critical point only transversally.

Theorem (Araújo, Pacifico, Pujals, Viana '07)

A transitive attracting set Λ_i as above supports a unique SRB measure (physical probability measure which disintegrates to an absolutely continuous measure along center-unstable leaves).

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ

Spectral decomposition for flows

- A consequence of these results is that a singular hyperbolic attractor Λ for a flow X on a 3-manifold M with dense periodic points is the following spectral decomposition:
 - $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Lambda_k$, with Λ_i compact, X_t -invariant, and transitive;
 - There are exactly k ergodic SRB measures μ₁,..., μ_k, with μ_i supported on Λ_i. Every SRB is a convex combination of the μ_i.

Theorem (Sataev '10)

If $\Lambda \subset M$ is a singular hyperbolic attractor for a flow X on a Riemannian *n*-manifold M whose stable distribution E^s has dimension n - 2, then Λ admits a spectral decomposition as above.

Theorem (Araújo '22)

Let X be a vector field on a 3-manifold, Λ a singular hyperbolic attractor, s_L the number of hyperbolic singularities of X contained in Λ . Then the number s of ergodic SRB measures on Λ whose support contains a singularity is s $\leq 2s_L$.

Uniform hyperbolicity setting

• Let $f: M \to M$ be a diffeomorphism of a Riemannian manifold M. An invariant compact subset $\Lambda \subset M$ is **uniformly hyperbolic** if there is a continuous invariant splitting $T_{\Lambda}M = E^s \oplus E^u$ for which there are C > 0 and $\lambda > 1$ so that for any $z \in \Lambda$, for all n > 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |df_z^n v| &\geq C\lambda^n |v| \quad \forall z \in \Lambda v \in C^u(z); \\ |df_z^{-n}| &\geq C\lambda^n |v| \quad \forall z \in \Lambda, v \in C^s(z). \end{aligned}$$

- This is similar to singular hyperbolic case, but where this splitting occurs *everywhere* in the domain. (Note this splitting holds in the set *D* by definition, but does not extend to *D* = Λ since Λ ∩ N ≠ Ø.)
- Besides the discontinuity/non-differentiability, the differential may be *unbounded* near the singular line. This obstructs classical arguments for existence/uniqueness of SRB measures.

Uniform hyperbolicity spectral decomposition

Theorem (Smale '67, Bowen '71, Sinai '72, Ruelle '73, Ruelle '76)

Let $f : M \to M$ be an Axiom A diffeomorphism attractor Λ . Then Λ admits a decomposition $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Lambda_s$ into finitely many pairwise disjoint closed invariant sets for which

- $f|_{\Lambda_i} : \Lambda_i \to \Lambda_i$ is topologically transitive $\forall i$;
- **2** each Λ_i admits a unique ergodic SRB measure.
 - Key ingredient in Bowen's proof is construction of a Markov partition.
 - Markov partition relies on *local hyperbolic product structure*.

Theorem (Bowen '71)

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ for which for any $x, y \in \Lambda$ with $\rho(x, y) < \delta$, with Λ a uniformly hyperbolic attractor, $W^s_{\varepsilon}(x) \cap W^u_{\varepsilon}(y)$ is nonempty and consists of a single point [x, y].

< 47 ▶

Theorem (Pesin '92)

There is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for Leb-a.e. $z \in K \setminus N$, there are $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > \delta_3 > 0$ for which for $y \in K \setminus N$ with $\rho(y, z) < \delta_3$, $W_{\varepsilon}^u(y) \cap W_{\delta_1}^s(z)$ contains a single point, denoted [y, z]; and furthermore, letting $B^u([y, z], \delta_2)$ denote the ball of radius δ_2 in $W_{\text{loc}}^u([y, z])$, we have $B^u([y, z], \delta_2) \subset W_{\varepsilon}^u(y)$.

- In the uniformly hyperbolic setting, δ_1 and δ_3 are independent of the base point z. In particular, they are bounded below. This ensures that we have a *finite* Markov partition.
- The singular set disrupts the length of the local stable and unstable manifolds. We don't get uniform length of stable leaves.

/□ ▶ 《 ⋽ ▶ 《 ⋽

- Define W^u(z) = ∪_{n≥0} fⁿ (W^u_{loc}(f⁻ⁿ(z))) for z ∈ K (W^s(z) is defined analogously for z ∈ Λ).
- Let J^u(z) = det (df|_{E^u(z)}) denote the unstable Jacobian of f at a point z ∈ Λ. For y ∈ W^u(z), set

$$\kappa(z,y) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{J^{u}\left(f^{-j}(z)\right)}{J^{u}\left(f^{-j}(y)\right)}$$

• Let m_z^u and ρ_z^u be the Riemannian leaf volume and leaf metric on $W^u(z)$. Let $U_0 := B^u(z, r) \subset W^u_{\text{loc}}(z)$ be the disc of ρ_z^u -radius r centered at z.

• Finally let
$$U_n = f(U_{n-1}) \setminus N^+$$
.

Construction of SRB measures (cont.)

• Define the measures $\widetilde{\nu}_n$ on $U_n \subset W^u(f^n(z))$ by

$$d\widetilde{\nu}_n(y) = \widetilde{C}_n(z)\kappa(f^n(z), y)dm_z^u(y),$$

where $\widetilde{C}_n(z)$ is a normalizing factor.

- Let ν_n be the measure on Λ given by $\nu_n(A) = \tilde{\nu}_n(A \cap U_n)$ for Borel $A \subset \Lambda$.
- Each ν_n is defined only on subsets of a particular unstable manifold W^u(fⁿ(z)).
- Define:

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \nu_k$$

• The final step in the construction is to show μ_n has an *f*-invariant weak limit measure μ concentrated on *D*. (Note μ may depend on the reference point *z*.)

- Is there a connection between the number/supports of SRB measures and the topological properties of the dynamics (e.g. topological transitivity/transitive components)?
- Given $z \in K \setminus N$, there is a radius r = r(z) > 0 for which $W^s_{\text{loc}}(z) \cap B_r(z)$ is the graph of a C^1 function $\psi_z : U_z \to M$, $U_z \subset T_z W^s_{\text{loc}}(z)$.
- W^s is locally continuous if y → ψ_y and (y, u) → d(ψ_y)_u are continuous over y ∈ (K \ N) ∩ B_{r(x)}(x), u ∈ U_y ⊂ T_yW^s(y). (Note ψ_y is defined μ-a.e. in (K \ N) ∩ B_{r(x)}(x), μ any SRB measure.)
- In particular, the maximal radius $z \mapsto r(z)$ in which $W^s_{\text{loc}}(z) \cap B_{r(z)}(z)$ is a C^1 curve varies continuously over Λ .

Theorem (Pesin '92)

Let $f : K \setminus N \to K$ be singular hyperbolic.

- There is a countable collection of f-invariant subsets {U_i}_{i≥1}, open in Λ, for which U_{i≥1} U_i = Λ, and each of which is supported by exactly one ergodic SRB measure.
- If f|_Λ : Λ → Λ is topologically transitive, then U₁ = Λ is the only member of this collection, and thus the ergodic SRB measure is unique.
- Under earlier assumptions (finitely many of components of N, transverse intersection of W^u with N, sufficiently long recurrence to N), f : K \ N → K admits at most finitely many ergodic SRB measures.
- It follows that under the additional assumption of local continuity of W^s, then this collection of sets {U_i}_{i≥1} is finite.

Construction of ergodic components

- Let μ be an SRB measure for Λ . For μ -a.e. $z \in \Lambda$, $W^u_{loc}(z) \subset \Lambda$ and the stable discs $B^s_{r(y)}(y)$ are defined for m^u_z -a.e. $y \in W^u_{loc}(z)$, i.e. on a set $A^u(z) \subset W^u_{loc}(z)$ of full m^u_z -measure. (Recall m^u_z is the Riemannian leaf volume of $W^u_{loc}(z)$.)
- Define the set

$$Q(z) = \left(\bigcup_{y \in A^u(z)} B^s_{r(y)}(y)\right) \cap \Lambda \cap B_{r(z)}(z).$$

Note $\mu(Q(z)) > 0$. (Recall r(z) is the maximal radius in which $W^s_{loc}(z) \cap B_{r(z)}(z)$ is a C^1 curve.)

- Note Q = ⋃_{n∈ℤ} fⁿ(Q(z)) is f-invariant, and thus an ergodic component of μ.
- Openness of Q (mod 0) in ∧ follows from the local continuity of W^s (i.e. continuity of y → r(y) for y ∈ W^u_{loc}(z)).

Proof of finiteness: Preliminary constructions

- Recall $K^+ = \{x \in K : f^n(x) \notin N^+ \forall n \ge 0\}$ and $D = \bigcap_{n \ge 0} f^n(K^+)$.
- Given $\delta > 0$, let $B_{\delta}^{-} \subset D$ consist of those $x \in D$ for which $W_{\delta}^{u}(y)$ exists and contains x, for some $y \in D$.
- Suppose $\delta_1 < \delta_2$. Then $B^-_{\delta_2} \subseteq B^-_{\delta_1}$.
 - Indeed, if $x \in B_{\delta_2}^-$, then $x \in W_{\delta_2}^u(y)$ for some $y \in D$.
 - By certain regularity hypotheses, D ∩ W^u_{δ2}(y) has full measure, so can pick y' ∈ W^u_{δ2}(y) that is with δ₁-distance to x.
 - Follows that $x \in B_{\delta_1}^-$.

Lemma

There exists a $\delta_0 > 0$ so that if μ is an ergodic SRB measure of $f : \Lambda \to \Lambda$, then $\mu(B_{\delta_0}^-) > 0$.

Proving first lemma

- B⁻_δ is the set of points whose local unstable leaves have radius δ > 0.
- Recall $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} N_i$, and if U is a neighborhood of N, then f(U) is a neighborhood of N^- .
- Since f^j(N⁻) ∩ N = Ø for 1 ≤ j < k, λ^k > 2 (λ > 1 expansive constant), and N and f^k(N⁻) are closed, there is a radius Q > 0 so that:
 - the open neighborhoods $B_Q(N_i)$, $1 \le i \le m$, are disjoint;
 - $f^j(B_Q(N_i)) \cap N = \emptyset$ for $1 \le j < k$.
- We let $\delta_0 < Q$.
- Choose ergodic SRB measure μ, and μ-generic point x ∈ D. Using hyperbolic product structure, construct rectangle R of stable leaves of radius β > 0 and unstable leaves of radius α > 0. Then μ(R) > 0.
- f(R) is a rectangle whose unstable leaves have length $\lambda \alpha$.

Proving first lemma (cont)

- Idea: Use iterates of R to extend the unstable leaves until they are of radius $\geq \delta_0$. Once this happens, $f^j(R) \subset B^-_{\delta_0}$, and $\mu(B^-_{\delta_0}) > \mu(f^j(R)) = \mu(R) > 0$.
- Obstruction: What if f^j(R) ∩ N ≠ Ø before λ^jα > δ₀?
- Since δ₀ > Q, Q = radius of disjoint neighborhoods of components of N, f^j(R) lies in one of these neighborhoods.
- Choose new rectangle R_1 of radius $\alpha_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda^j \alpha$.



Proving first lemma (cont)

- Iterate R₁ until either:
 - $\lambda^{j} \alpha_{1} \geq \delta_{0}$ (in which case $\mu(B_{\delta_{0}}^{-}) > 0$, and we're done); or
 - $f^{j_1}(R_1) \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for some $j_1 \ge k$ (since $R_1 \subset B_Q(N_i)$).
- In latter case, take new rectangle $R_2 \subset f^{j_1}(R_1) \setminus N$ with unstable leaves of radius $\alpha_2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{j_1} \alpha_1$.
- Repeat this process. Each time a rectangle intersects N, we take a leaf of at least half the radius, creating a sequence of rectangles $\{R_{\ell}\}$ with unstable leaves of radii

$$\alpha_{\ell} \geq \frac{1}{2^{\ell}} \lambda^{j_1 + \dots + j_{\ell}} \alpha_1 > \frac{\lambda^{k\ell}}{2^{\ell}} \alpha_1 = \left(\frac{\lambda^k}{2}\right)^{\ell} \alpha_1,$$

with each $j_{\ell} \ge k$ the time it takes for R_{ℓ} to intersect N.

• Since $\lambda^k > 2$, this will eventually exceed δ_0 , at which point $0 < \mu(R_\ell) \le \mu(B_{\delta_0}^-)$.

Proving finiteness

- The main result is proven once we show $B_{\delta_0}^-$ is charged by at most finitely many ergodic SRB measures.
- Let $\Lambda^\pm \subset \Lambda$ be the points on which the limits

$$\varphi_{\pm}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi|_{\Lambda} \left(f^{\pm k}(x) \right)$$

both exist for every $\varphi \in C^0(K)$. Then $\mu(\Lambda^{\pm}) = 1$ by Birkhoff ergodic theorem, w.r.t. any invariant μ .

Recall x ∈ B⁻_δ ⇔ ∃y = y(x) ∈ D⁻_ℓ s.t. x ∈ W^u_δ(y). So let Λ⁰ be the set of those points x ∈ B⁻_δ for which, letting y = y(x):

$$\exists A^u(y) \subset W^u_\delta(y) ext{ of full }
u^u$$
-measure s.t. $A^u(y) \subset \Lambda^+$

and

$$\varphi_+|_{\mathcal{A}^u(y)}$$
 is constant $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{K}).$

Proving finiteness: Hopf argument

- We make the following two claims:
 - (1) Λ^0 has full measure in B_{δ}^- for any *f*-invariant measure, and (2) Λ^0 is closed.
- Granting these claims, partition Λ^0 into equivalence classes on which φ_+ is constant. More precisely, $x \sim x'$ for $x, x' \in \Lambda^0$ if $\varphi_+(A^u(y)) = \varphi_+(A^u(y'))$ for all $\varphi : K \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous (y = y(x), y' = y(x') as above).
- Idea: Use hyperbolic product structure and absolute continuity of holonomy map to show the equivalence classes are open in Λ^0 .
- Since Λ^0 is itself closed, there may only be finitely many such equivalence classes.
- Since Λ⁰ has full measure in B⁻_δ for any *f*-invariant measure, every ergodic SRB measure must charge exactly one such equivalence class.

Thank you!



Dominic Veconi (International Centre for The SRB measures of singular hyperbolic attractor